UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,609	01/12/2006	Dmitry Dmitrievich Genkin	06-1663	8872
93/19/2009 PATENT, COPYRIGHT & TRADEMARK LAW GROUP 4199 Kinross Lakes Parkway			EXAMINER	
			RAMIREZ, DELIA M	
Suite 275 RICHFIELD, OH 44286		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1652	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/19/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/564,609	GENKIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DELIA M. RAMIREZ	1652				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
·=						
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> are subject to restriction and/or ele	ection requirement.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	- , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		, ,				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Page 6) Other:	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	o, 🗀 Other					

Art Unit: 1652

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Status of the Application

Claims 1-4 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group 1, claim(s) 1-4, drawn in part to a method of treating infections caused by bacteria, wherein said method requires administration of a DNA destroying agent into a systemic blood circulation.

Group 2, claim(s) 1-4, drawn in part to a method of treating diseases caused by fungi, wherein said method requires administration of a DNA destroying agent into a systemic blood circulation.

Group 3, claim(s) 1-4, drawn in part to a method of treating diseases caused by protozoa, wherein said method requires administration of a DNA destroying agent into a systemic blood circulation.

Group 4, claim(s) 1-4, drawn in part to a method of treating atherosclerosis, wherein said method requires administration of a DNA destroying agent into a systemic blood circulation.

Group 5, claim(s) 1-4, drawn in part to a method of treating diabetes, wherein said method requires administration of a DNA destroying agent into a systemic blood circulation.

Group 6, claim(s) 1-4, drawn in part to a method of treating a delayed-type hypersensitivity reaction, wherein said method requires administration of a DNA destroying agent into a systemic blood circulation.

Group 7, claim(s) 1-4, drawn in part to a method of treating diseases caused by mutations in somatic cell's genes, wherein said method requires administration of a DNA destroying agent into a systemic blood circulation.

2. The inventions listed as Groups 1-7 do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1652

3. According to PCT Rule 13.2, unity of invention exists only when the shared same or corresponding special technical feature is a contribution over the prior art. The inventions listed as Groups 1-7 do not relate to a single general inventive concept because they lack the same or corresponding special technical feature. The technical feature linking Groups 1-7 is a method for treating diseases associated with changes in the composition of blood extracellular DNA, which is shown by Lazarus et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6391607) to lack novelty or inventive step since Lazarus et al. teach the treatment of several conditions such as cystic fibrosis and infections (column 12, lines 4-15) by administration of DNase I, which is a DNA destroying agent (column 11, lines 40-44) through several administration routes including intravenously (column 12, lines 20-24). Thus, the technical feature does not make a contribution over the prior art and the claimed inventions do not meet the requirement of unity of invention under PCT Rule 13.2.

Page 3

4. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention. The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable

Art Unit: 1652

over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the

other invention.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named

inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of

inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37

CFR 1.17(i).

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PMR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Delia M. Ramirez, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0938. The examiner can

normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by

telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. Nashaat Nashed can be reached on (571) 272-

0934. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

/Delia M. Ramirez/

Primary Patent Examiner

Page 4

Art Unit 1652

DR

March 19, 2009

Page 5

Art Unit: 1652